

Patientenindividuelle Implantat-Restaurationen – gestern und heute

Dr. Helmut G. Steveling, ZTM José de San José González

- [1] Ghodsi S; Alikash M; Soltani N: Marginal Discrepancy of Single Implant-Supported Metal Copings Fabricated by Various CAD/CAM and Conventional Techniques Using Different Materials. *Eur J Dent* 2019;13(4):563-568
- [2] Joda T & Brägger U: Time efficiency analysis of the treatment with monolithic implant crowns in a digital workflow: a randomized controlled trial. *Clin Oral Implants Res* 2016;27(11):1401-1406
- [3] Joda T & Brägger U: Digital vs. conventional implant prosthetic workflows: a cost/time analysis. *Clin Oral Implants Res* 2015;26(12):1430-1435
- [4] Joda T & Brägger U: Time-Efficiency Analysis Comparing Digital and Conventional Workflows for Implant Crowns: A Prospective Clinical Crossover Trial. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants* 2015;30(5):1047-1053
- [5] Joda T, Katsoulis J, Brägger U: Clinical Fitting and Adjustment Time for Implant-Supported Crowns Comparing Digital and Conventional Workflows. *Clin Implant Dent Relat Res* 2016;18 (5):946-954
- [6] Korsch M & Walther W: Prefabricated Versus Customized Abutments: A Retrospective Analysis of Loosening of Cement-Retained Fixed Implant-Supported Reconstructions. *Int J Prosthodont* 2015;28(5):522-526
- [7] Mello CC, Lemos CAA, de Luna Gomes JM, Verri FR, Pellizzer EP. CAD/CAM vs Conventional Technique for Fabrication of Implant-Supported Frameworks: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis of In Vitro Studies. *Int J Prosthodont*. 2019;32(2):182-192
- [8] Wasiluk G, Chomik E, Gehrke P, Pietruska M, Skurska A, Pietruski J: Incidence of undetected cement on CAD/CAM monolithic zirconia crowns and customized CAD/CAM implant abutments. A prospective case series. *Clin Oral Implants Res* 2017;28(7):774-778